

# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

SN

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVEN	TOR	AT	TORNEY DOCKET NO.
_			$\neg$	EX	AMINER
.00		3 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	·	/4 &	
and the second of the second	201	1. 4 Ph		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
A New York Control of the					10
× ** * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	The Allender			4 / 2 /	•
				DATE MAILED:	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

•		Application No.	A cant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/319,438	WALTERS ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	•	Christopher C. Pratt	1771				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	opears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
Period for	· Reply						
THE M - Extension after S - If the p - If the p - Failure	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period et or epily within the set or extended period for reply will, by statisply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may eply within the statutory minimum of od will apply and will expire SIX (6) M to the capitation to become	y a reply be timely filed  thirty (30) days will be considered timely MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication ARANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)				
Status		7 June 2001					
1) 🖸	Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{2}$	7 June 2001					
2a)[ <u>·</u>		This action is non-final.	matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	on of Claims						
4)	Claim(s) $\underline{12-32}$ is/are pending in the applica	ation.					
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>14-32</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊡	Claim(s) <u>12 and 13</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) be held in a	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)[_] approved b)	disapproved by the Examiner.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in						
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.					
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a	)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
*	3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies	s not received.				
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don	nestic priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
	a)  The translation of the foreign language   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor	e provisional application h	nas been received.				
Attachme							
1) No	tice of References Cited (PTO-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94k ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	5) No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:				

Application/Control Number: 09/319,438 Page 2

Art Unit: 1771

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of group I, claims 12-13, in Paper No. 9 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that all four groups of claims contain the special technical feature. This is not found persuasive because this is not the only factor involved when determining unity of invention. The test for determining unity of invention is two-fold; 1) do all groups contain the special technical feature and 2) does the special technical feature make a contribution over the prior art? In this case the special technical feature does not make a contribution over the prior art, as set forth in the last communication. Furthermore, a search of all groups would result in a serious burden to the examiner because a search would be required in several different classes.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chancellor, Jr. (3793128) in view of applicant's admitted prior art (AAPA).

Application/Control Number: 09/319,438 Page 3

Art Unit: 1771

Chancellor is concerned with the creation of a glass-reinforced gypsum board (GRG) (col. 2, lines 30-37). Chancellor teaches the edge margin of the board to have a lower substance than the remainder of the mat ("18 and 32" of fig. 3). Chancellor teaches said gypsum board to be reinforced with a plastic resin containing glass fibers. Chancellor doesn't specifically state that this reinforcement material has a non-woven structure.

Applicant teaches that it is known in the art of GRG boards to reinforce gypsum with a nonwoven glass mat (p. 1 of applicant's specification). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to utilize a nonwoven glass mat to reinforce the gypsum board of Chancellor. Such a modification would have been motivated by the desire to improve the strength and fire resistance of Chancellor's GRG board.

With respect to claim 13 the mat of Chancellor may inherently be beveled on both sides. If not, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to form both opposing edges of said mat with a lower substance. Such a modification would have been motivated by the desire to form a mat in which a chair can roll smoothly on both sides.

#### Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 1771

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher Pratt whose telephone number is 703-305-6559. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 7 am to 4 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 703-308-2414. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-2351.

Christopher C. Pratt August 9, 2001

TERREL MORRIS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700